Short Communication

Paramonacanthus oblongus, the correct name for the Indo-Pacific fish currently called *P. japonicus*, with a recommendation on the nomenclature of Stephanolepis cirrhifer (Tetraodontiformes, Monacanthidae)

Anthony C. Gill¹ and J. Barry Hutchins²

¹Department of Zoology, The Natural History Museum, London SW7 5BD, U.K. ²Department of Aquatic Zoology, Western Australian Museum, Francis Street, Perth, Western Australia 6000, Australia

the monacanthid Members of genus Paramonacanthus Bleeker are small fishes (commonly known as leatherjackets and filefishes) that inhabit flat silty and sandy bottoms throughout the Indo-West Pacific. The genus was recently revised by Hutchins (1997), who recognised 11 species. Among these was P. japonicus (Tilesius, 1810) from the eastern Indian and West Pacific Oceans. However, we have since discovered that Tilesius's name is preoccupied and here correct the nomenclature of the species, as well as provide a new recommendation concerning Stephanolepis cirrhifer (Schlegel, 1850) from Japan and China.

Paramonacanthus japonicus was originally described (as Balistes japonicus) by Tilesius (1810) on the basis of a single specimen (presently lost) from Japan (see Hutchins, 1997: 29). However, Tilesius was unaware that Balistes japonicus had been used earlier by Walbaum (1792). Walbaum's description is very brief, but is nevertheless sufficient to make the name available:

"Species adhuc dubiae

20. BALISTES, *japonicus*, radiis pinnae dorsalis 3 v. 4. W. Balistes monoceros. *Einhörniche Hoornvisch. Houttuyni*. *Act. Harl*. XX. P. 2. n. 33. D. 3–4. P. 13. V ... A... C. 14. Longitudo 6 unciarum. Color subfuscus vel cinereus. Anteriorum radiorum unus exit in longum filum."

This indicates that Walbaum's description was based on the earlier account of Houttuyn (1782) under the name *Balistes monoceros*. Houttuyn's description (p. 345) is reproduced here:

"34. (stated as 33 by Walbaum) BALISTES MONOCEROS. Eenhoornige Hoornvisch

Van deezen Visch, die in de beide Indien huisvest, heb ik een schoon groot Exemplaar van Japan ontvangen. Het is meer dan zes Duimen lang, en overtrest dus in groote de Afbeelding van een zodanigen, welke ik volgens een Voorwerp, uit het Kabinet van den Wel Ed. Heer W. VAN DER MEULEN, nu ruim zestien Jaaren geleeden, aan't licht bragt (h). In Kleur niet alleen, die bruin is of graauw, mar ook in de telling der Vinstraalen, komt het daar mede vry wel overeen: want ik vind in de Rugvin en Aarsvin, beiden, *drie* of *vierendertig*, in de Borstvinnen *dertien*, en in de Staartvin *veertien* Straalen. Het byzonderste is, dat een der voorsten van de Rugvin uitloopt in een langen Draad."

Our translation of Houttuyn's description is as follows:

"These fish that live in both Indies I have received a good example from Japan. It is more than 6 thumbs long. I compared it with a figure of something similar which was brought to light 16 years ago from the cabinet of Mr W. van der Meulen. It compares well not only in colour which is dull brown but also in the fin rays: the back fin and anal fin both three or four and thirty (= thirty-three or thirty-four), the breast fin thirteen and the tail fin fourteen rays. The most outstanding feature is the first of the back fin which runs in one long thread."

Walbaum's description deviates from Houttuyn's in several features, most notably in recording only 3–4 dorsal rays and not providing a count for the anal fin. We surmise that this may have resulted from confusion over his translation of Houttuyn's phrase: "want ik vind in de Rugvin en Aarsvin, beiden, *drie* of *vierendertig*" (see above). Apparently it was also enough to cause subsequent workers to ignore Walbaum's name.

Houttuyn's description appears to have been based on a species belonging to the Monacanthidae. It was, as stated in the introduction to Houttuyn's paper, collected by Carel (= Carl) Thunberg in Japan and provided to Houttuyn through the auspices of J.C.M. Radermacher. The meristic values-allowing for a count of 14 caudal-fin rays which is two more than is typical for the familyand elongate, threadlike filament in the dorsal fin suggest that it was based on *Stephanolepis cirrhifer* (Schlegel, 1850). There are no balistids in the Japanese region that possess an elongate ray anteriorly in the soft dorsal fin and the only other Japanese monacanthid so adorned is *Pervagor nigrolineatus* (Herre), a species that occurs in the Ryukyu Islands (Matsuura and Sunobe, 1990) and possesses only 25–30 anal-fin rays (Hutchins, 1986). Therefore *Balistes japonicus* Walbaum, 1792, is most likely a senior synonym of the species originally described by Schlegel (1850) as *Monacanthus cirrhifer*. However, as mentioned above, we are unaware of the use of Walbaum's name for this or any other species since it was first described. Conversely, *Stephanolepis cirrhifer* has been universally applied to this species in recent literature (see below), and we thus advocate its continued use.

Article 23.9 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature requires that the adoption of a younger name over a disused older one must be accompanied by evidence that the younger name has been used as the presumed valid name in at least 25 works, published by at least 10 authors in the immediately preceeding 50 years, and spanning at least 10 years. Therefore, the following works are submitted here to fulfil this requirement: Abe (1986), Burgess and Axelrod (1972), Chyung (1961, 1977), FAO (1992), Kamohara (1967), Konishi (1995), Masuda and Allen (1987), Masuda et al. (1975, 1984); Masuda and Kobayashi (1994), Matsuura (1979), Murofushi and Yosida (1979), Nakabo (1993), Okada (1955), Patzner and Moosleitner (1999), Randall et al. (1997), Safran (1990), Safran and Omori (1990), Shen (1994), Shiino (1972), Sokolovskaya et al. (1998), Taguchi (1985), Tyler (1980), and Vasil'yev (1980).

According to Article 57.2 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Balistes japonicus Tilesius (1810) is a junior primary homonym of Balistes japonicus Walbaum (1792), and is thus permanently invalid. Hutchins (1997) showed that the next available name for Tilesius's species is Monacanthus oblongus Schlegel (1850). Hutchins noted that Schlegel's description is composite, being based on specimens of the present species and Thamnaconus septentrionalis (Günther); he also noted that the "type illustration" was based on a male of the present species. However, he was unaware that Boeseman (1947: 209) had also showed that Schlegel's description was a combination of two species, and had in turn designated a lectotype for the species (Boeseman's description of the lectotype [RMNH 4133b] clearly identifies it with a male specimen of the species called Paramonacanthus japonicus by Hutchins, 1997). The correct name for this species thus becomes Paramonacanthus oblongus (Schlegel, 1850).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Anne Nevin (Western Australian Museum) for translating portions of Houttuyn's 1782 paper. A.C. Gill, J.B. Hutchins

REFERENCES

- Abe, T. (1986). Keys to the Japanese Fishes Fully Illustrated in Colors. Twelfth revised edition. Hokuryukan, Tokyo.
- Boeseman, M. (1947). Revision of the fishes collected by Burger and Von Siebold in Japan. E.J. Brill, Leiden.
- Burgess, W.E. and Axelrod, H.R. (1972). Pacific marine fishes. Book 1. TFH Publications, Neptune City.
- Chyung, M.-K. (1961). Illustrated Encyclopedia. The Fauna of Korea (2), Fishes. Ministry of Education, Seoul.
- Chyung, M.-K. (1977). The Fishes of Korea. Il Ji Sa, Seoul.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) (1992). Fishery statistics: catches and landings, volume 74. FAO Fisheries Series 43.
- Houttuyn, M. (1782). Beschryving ven eenige Japanse visschen, en andere zee-schepzelen. Verhandelingen Uitgegeeven door de Hollandsche Maatschappye der Weetenschappen, te Harlem 20(2): 311–350.
- Hutchins, J.B. (1986). Review of the monacanthid fish genus *Pervagor*, with descriptions of two new species. *Indo-Pacific Fishes* **12**: 1–35.
- Hutchins, J.B. (1997). Review of the monacanthid fish genus Paramonacanthus, with descriptions of three new species. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement 54: 1–57.
- Kamohara, T. (1967). Fishes of Japan in Color. Hoikusha Publishing, Osaka.
- Konishi, H. (1995). Fishes New Color Guide for sportsfishermen. Weekly Sunday Fishing, Osaka.
- Masuda, H. and Allen, G.R. (1987). Sea fishes of the world (Indo-Pacific region). Yama-Kei Publishers, Tokyo.
- Masuda, H., Amaoka, K., Araga, C., Uyeno, T. and Yoshino, T. (1984). The fishes of the Japanese Archipelago. Vol. 1–2. Tokai University Press, Tokyo.
- Masuda, H., Araga, C., and Yoshino, T. (1975). *Coastal fishes of Southern Japan*. Tokai University Press, Tokyo, Japan.
- Masuda, H. and Kobayashi, Y. (1994). Grand Atlas of fish life modes. Color variation in Japanese Fish. Tokai University Press, Tokyo.
- Matsuura, K. (1979). Phylogeny of the superfamily Balistoidea (Pisces: Tetraodontiformes). *Memoirs of the Faculty of Fisheries, Hokkaido University* **26**(1/2): 49– 169.
- Matsurra, K. and Sunobe, T. (1990). First record of the filefish, Pervagor nigrolineatus, from Japan. Japanese Journal of Ichthyology 37(2): 198–199.
- Murofushi, M. and Yosida, T.H. (1979). Cytogenetical studies on fishes, I. Karyotypes of four filefishes. Japanese Journal of Genetics 54(3): 191–195.
- Nakabo, T. (ed.) (1993). Fishes of Japan with Pictorial Keys to Species. Tokai University Press, Tokyo.
- Okada, Y. (1955). Fishes of Japan. Illustrations and descriptions of Fishes of Japan. Maruzen, Tokyo.
- Patzner, R.A. and Moosleitner, H. (1999). Meerwasser atlas Band 6: non-Perciformes. Mergus, Melle.
- Randall, J.E., Ida, H., Kato, K., Pyle, R.L. and Earle, J.L. (1997). Annotated checklist of inshore fishes of the Ogasawara Islands. *National Science Museum Monograph*(11): 1–74.
- Safran, P. (1990). Drifting seaweed and associated

Paramonacanthus oblongus

ichthyofauna: floating nursery in Tohoku waters. La uuer 28(4):225–239.

- Safran, P. and Omori, M. (1990). Some ecological observations on fishes associated with drifting seaweed off Tohoku coast, *Japanese Marine Biology* **105**: 395–402.
- Schlegel, H. (1850). Pisces. In Fauna Japouica. Von Siebold, P.F (ed), Leiden.
- Shen, S.C. (ed.) (1994). Fishes of Taiwan. Department of Zoology, National Taiwan University, Taipei.
- Shiino, S.M. (1972). List of English names for Japanese fishes with proposition of new names. *Science Report* of Shima Marinelaud no. 1: 1–210.
- Sokolovskaya, T.G., Sokolovskii, A.S., and Sobolevskii, E.I. (1998). A list of fishes of Peter the Great Bay (the Sea of Japan). *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 38(1): 1– 11.
- Taguchi, T. (1985). Fishes of Izu Islands and Bonin Islands. Nippon Television, Tokyo.

- Tilesius, W.G. (1810). Description de quelques poissons observés pendant son voyage autor du monde. Mémoires de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou (1809) 2: 212–249.
- Tyler, J.C. (1980). Osteology, phylogeny, and higher classification of the fishes of the Order Plectognathi (Tetraodontiformes). US Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Report NMFS Circular 434: 1-422.
- Vasil'yev, V.P. (1980). Chromosome numbers in fish-like vertebrates and fish. Japanese Journal of Ichthyology 20(3): 1–38.
- Walbaum, J.J. (1792). Petri Artedi Sueci Genera piscium. In quibus systema totum ichthyologiae proponitur cum classibus, ordinibus, generum characteribus, specierum differentiis, observationibus plurimis. Redactis speciebus 242 ad genera 52. Ichthyologiae, pars iii. Artedi Piscium Pt. 3: 1-723.

Manuscript received 24 May 2000; accepted 2 July 2001.